arXiv:1704.08475v1 [math.AG] 27 Apr 2017

UNIFORM STABLE RADIUS, LÊ NUMBERS AND TOPOLOGICAL TRIVIALITY FOR LINE SINGULARITIES

CHRISTOPHE EYRAL

ABSTRACT. Let $\{f_t\}$ be a family of complex polynomial functions with *line* singularities. We show that if $\{f_t\}$ has a *uniform stable radius* (for the corresponding Milnor fibrations), then the Lê numbers of the functions f_t are independent of t for all small t. In the case of isolated singularities — a case for which the only non-zero Lê number coincides with the Milnor number — a similar assertion was proved by M. Oka and D. O'Shea.

By combining our result with a theorem of J. Fernández de Bobadilla — which says that families of line singularities in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 5$, with constant Lê numbers are topologically trivial — it follows that a family of line singularities in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 5$, is topologically trivial if it has a uniform stable radius.

As an important example, we show that families of weighted homogeneous line singularities have a uniform stable radius if the nearby fibres $f_t^{-1}(\eta)$, $\eta \neq 0$, are "uniformly" non-singular with respect to the deformation parameter t.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(t,\mathbf{z}) := (t,z_1,\ldots,z_n)$ be linear coordinates for $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^n$ ($n \geq 2$), and let

$$f\colon (\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}\times\{\mathbf{0}\}) \to (\mathbb{C},0),\ (t,\mathbf{z}) \mapsto f(t,\mathbf{z}), \tag{1.1}$$

be a polynomial function. As usual, we write $f_t(\mathbf{z}) := f(t,\mathbf{z})$, and for any $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $V(f_t-\eta)$ the hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined by the equation $f_t(\mathbf{z}) = \eta$. (Note that (1.1) implies $f_t(\mathbf{0}) = f(t,\mathbf{0}) = 0$, so that the origin $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ belongs to the hypersurface $V(f_t) = f_t^{-1}(0)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$.)

The purpose of this paper is to show that if the polynomial function f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of hypersurfaces with *line* singularities and with a *uniform stable radius* (for the corresponding Milnor fibrations), then the Lê numbers

$$\lambda^0_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0}),\ldots,\lambda^{n-1}_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$$

of the polynomial functions f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ with respect to the coordinates $\mathbf{z}$ — which do exist in this case — are independent of t for all small t (cf. Theorem 4.1). In the case of hypersurfaces with *isolated* singularities — a case for which the constancy of the Lê numbers means the constancy of the Milnor number — a similar assertion was proved by M. Oka [13] and D. O'Shea [17].

It is worth to observe that by combining Theorem 4.1 with a theorem of J. Fernández de Bobadilla [4] — which says that a family of hypersurfaces with line singularities in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 5$, is topologically trivial if it has constant Lê numbers — it follows that a family of hypersurfaces with line singularities in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 5$, is topologically trivial if it has a uniform stable radius (cf. Corollary 4.2).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14B05, 14B07, 14J70, 14J17, 32S25, 32S05.

Key words and phrases. Line singularities, uniform stable radius, Lê numbers, equisingularity.

It is well known that if $\{f_t\}$ is a family of *isolated* hypersurface singularities such that each f_t is *weighted homogeneous* with respect to a given system of weights, then $\{f_t\}$ has a uniform stable radius — a result of M. Oka [13] and D. O'Shea [17]. In Theorem 5.1, we show this still holds true for weighted homogeneous hypersurfaces with *line* singularities provided that the nearby fibres $V(f_t-\eta)$, $\eta\neq 0$, are "uniformly" non-singular with respect to the deformation parameter t — that is, non-singular in a small ball the radius of which does not depends on t. (Note that this condition always holds true for isolated singularities.) In particular, by Corollary 4.2, such families have constant Lê numbers, and for $n\geq 5$, they are topologically trivial.

Finally, let us observe that by combining Corollary 4.2 with a theorem of M. Oka [15] — which says that a family $\{f_t\}$ of non-degenerate functions with constant Newton boundary has a uniform stable radius — we get a new proof of a theorem of J. Damon [3] which says that if $\{f_t\}$ is a family of non-degenerate line singularities in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n\geq 5$, with constant Newton boundary, then $\{f_t\}$ is topologically trivial.

Notation 1.1. In this paper, we are only interested in the behaviour of functions (or hypersurfaces) near the origin $\mathbf{0}\in\mathbb{C}^n$. We denote by B_ε the closed ball centred at $\mathbf{0}\in\mathbb{C}^n$ with radius $\varepsilon>0$, and we write $\mathring{B}_\varepsilon$ (respectively, S_ε) for its interior (respectively, its boundary). As usual, in $\mathbb{C}$, we rather write D_ε and $\mathring{D}_\varepsilon$ instead of B_ε and $\mathring{B}_\varepsilon$.

2. Uniform stable radius

By [5, Lemme (2.1.4)], we know that for each t there exists a positive number $r_t>0$ such that for any pair $(\varepsilon_t,\varepsilon_t')$ with $0<\varepsilon_t'\leq\varepsilon_t\leq r_t$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon_t,\varepsilon_t')>0$ such that for any non-zero complex number η with $0<|\eta|\leq\delta(\varepsilon_t,\varepsilon_t')$, the hypersurface $V(f_t-\eta)$ is non-singular in $\mathring{B}_{r_t}$ and transversely intersects with the sphere $S_{\varepsilon''}$ for any ε'' with $\varepsilon_t'\leq\varepsilon''\leq\varepsilon_t$. Any such a number r_t is called a *stable radius* for the Milnor fibration of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ (cf. [15, §2]).

Definition 2.1 (cf. [15, §3])**.** We say that the family $\{f_t\}$ has a *uniform stable radius* (we also say that $\{f_t\}$ is *uniformly stable*) if there exist $\tau>0$ and $r>0$ such that for any pair $(\varepsilon,\varepsilon')$ with $0<\varepsilon'\leq\varepsilon\leq r$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon,\varepsilon')>0$ such that for any non-zero complex number η with $0<|\eta|\leq\delta(\varepsilon,\varepsilon')$, the hypersurface $V(f_t-\eta)$ is non-singular in $\mathring{B}_r$ and transversely intersects with the sphere $S_{\varepsilon''}$ for any ε'' with $\varepsilon'\leq\varepsilon''\leq\varepsilon$ and for any t with $0\leq|t|\leq\tau$. Any such a number r is called a *uniform stable radius* for $\{f_t\}$.

In the special case where the polynomial function f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of *isolated* hypersurface singularities (i.e., f_t has an isolated singularity at $\mathbf{0}$ for all small t), then, by [12], we also know that for each t there exists $R_t>0$ such that the hypersurface $V(f_t)$ is non-singular in $\mathring{B}_{R_t}\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and transversely intersects with the sphere S_ρ for any ρ with $0<\rho\leq R_t$.

Definition 2.2 (cf. [13, §2])**.** Suppose that f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of *isolated* hypersurface singularities . We say that $\{f_t\}$ satisfies *condition (A)* if there exist $\nu>0$ and $R>0$ such that $V(f_t)$ is non-singular in $\mathring{B}_R\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and transversely intersects with the sphere S_ρ for any ρ with $0<\rho\leq R$ and for any t with $0\leq|t|\leq\nu$.

It is easy to see that a family $\{f_t\}$ of isolated hypersurface singularities satisfies condition *(A)* if and only if it has no *vanishing fold* and no *non-trivial critical arc*

in the sense of [17]. Also, it is worth to observe that if $\{f_t\}$ satisfies condition *(A)*, then it has a uniform stable radius (cf. [13, 17]).

3. The Oka-O'Shea theorem for isolated singularities

Throughout this section we assume that the polynomial function f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of *isolated* hypersurface singularities. The following theorem is due to M. Oka [13] and D. O'Shea [17].

Theorem 3.1 (Oka-O'Shea)**.** *Suppose that f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of isolated hypersurface singularities. Under this assumption, if furthermore $\{f_t\}$ satisfies condition (A) or if it has a uniform stable radius, then it is μ-constant — that is, the Milnor number $\mu_{f_t}(\mathbf{0})$ of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ is independent of t for all small t.*

Actually, in [13], M. Oka showed that if $\{f_t\}$ satisfies condition *(A)* or if it has a uniform stable radius, then the Milnor fibrations at $\mathbf{0}$ of f_0 and f_t are isomorphic.

In [8], Lê Dũng Tráng and C. P. Ramanujam showed that for $n \neq 3$ any family of isolated hypersurface singularities with constant Milnor number is topologically $\mathscr{V}$-equisingular. With the same assumption, J. G. Timourian [19] showed that the family is actually topologically trivial. We recall that a family $\{f_t\}$ is *topologically $\mathscr{V}$-equisingular* (respectively, *topologically trivial*) if there exist open neighbourhoods D and U of the origins in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$, respectively, together with a continuous map $\varphi\colon (D\times U, D\times\{\mathbf{0}\}) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbf{0})$ such that for all sufficiently small t, there is an open neighbourhood $U_t \subseteq U$ of $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the map

$$\varphi_t\colon (U_t, \mathbf{0}) \to (\varphi(\{t\}\times U_t), \mathbf{0}),\ \mathbf{z} \mapsto \varphi_t(\mathbf{z}) := \varphi(t, \mathbf{z}),$$

is a homeomorphism satisfying the relation

$$\varphi_t(V(f_0)\cap U_t) = V(f_t)\cap \varphi_t(U_t)$$

(respectively, the relation $f_0 = f_t \circ \varphi_t$ on U_t).

Note that, in general, "μ-constant" does not imply condition *(A)* (cf. [1, 16]).

Finally, observe that the Briançon-Speder famous family shows that condition *(A)* does not imply the Whitney conditions along the t-axis (cf. [2]).

4. Uniformly stable families of line singularities

4.1. **Setup and statement of the main result.** From now on we suppose that the polynomial function f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of hypersurfaces with *line* singularities. As in [9, §4], by such a family we mean a family $\{f_t\}$ such that for each t small enough, the singular locus Σf_t of f_t near the origin $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is given by the z_1-axis, and the restriction of f_t to the hyperplane $V(z_1)$ defined by $z_1 = 0$ has an isolated singularity at the origin. Then, by [10, Remark 1.29], the partition of $V(f_t)$ given by

$$\mathscr{S}_t := \big\{V(f_t)\setminus \Sigma f_t, \Sigma f_t \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, \{\mathbf{0}\}\big\}$$

is a *good stratification* for f_t at $\mathbf{0}$, and the hyperplane $V(z_1)$ is a *prepolar slice* for f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ with respect to $\mathscr{S}_t$ for all t small enough. In particular, combined with [10, Proposition 1.23], this implies that the *Lê numbers*

$$\lambda^0_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^1_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$$

of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ with respect to the coordinates $\mathbf{z}$ do exist. (For the definitions of good stratifications, prepolarity and Lê numbers, we refer the reader to D. Massey's

book [10].) Note that for line singularities, the only possible non-zero Lê numbers are precisely $\lambda^0_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$ and $\lambda^1_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$. All the other Lê numbers $\lambda^k_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$ for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ are defined and equal to zero (cf. [10]).

Here is our main observation.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of hypersurfaces with line singularities. Under this assumption, if furthermore $\{f_t\}$ has a uniform stable radius, then it is $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$-constant — that is, the Lê numbers $\lambda^0_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$ and $\lambda^1_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$ are independent of t for all small t.*

Theorem 4.1 extends to line singularities Oka-O'Shea's Theorem 3.1 concerning isolated singularities. Indeed, for isolated singularities, the only possible non-zero Lê number is $\lambda^0_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$ and the latter coincides with the Milnor number $\mu_{f_t}(\mathbf{0})$.

Note that if $\{f_t\}$ is a $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$-constant family of line singularities in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $n \geq 5$, then, by a theorem of D. Massey [9, Theorem (5.2)], the diffeomorphism type of the Milnor fibration of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ is independent of t for all small t. Under the same assumption, in [4, Theorem 42], J. Fernández de Bobadilla showed that $\{f_t\}$ is actually topologically trivial. Combining Fernández de Bobadilla's result with our Theorem 4.1 gives the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of hypersurfaces with line singularities in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $n \geq 5$. Under this assumption, if furthermore $\{f_t\}$ has a uniform stable radius, then it is topologically trivial.*

4.2. **Application to families of non-degenerate line singularities with constant Newton boundary.** In [15, Corollary 1], M. Oka showed that if $\{f_t\}$ is a family of hypersurface singularities — not necessary line singularities — such that for all small t the polynomial function f_t is *non-degenerate* and the *Newton boundary* of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ with respect to the coordinates $\mathbf{z}$ is independent of t, then $\{f_t\}$ has a uniform stable radius. (For the definitions of non-degeneracy and Newton boundary, see [6, 14].) Combined with Oka's result, Corollary 4.2 provides a new proof of the following theorem of J. Damon [3]. (Actually, the theorem of Damon given in [3] is much more general than the special case stated below.)

Theorem 4.3 (Damon)**.** *Suppose that f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of hypersurfaces with line singularities in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $n \geq 5$. Under this assumption, if furthermore for any sufficiently small t the polynomial function f_t is non-degenerate and the Newton boundary of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$ with respect to the coordinates $\mathbf{z}$ is independent of t, then the family $\{f_t\}$ is topologically trivial.*

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Consider the map $\Phi\colon \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by

$$(t,\mathbf{z}) \mapsto \Phi(t,\mathbf{z}) := (f(t,\mathbf{z}),t),$$

and pick positive numbers τ and r which satisfy the condition of Definition 2.1. Then, in particular, the following property $(\mathscr{P})$ holds true:

- $(\mathscr{P})$ for any ε with $0<\varepsilon<r$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for any t with $0\leq|t|\leq\tau$ and for any η with $0<|\eta|\leq\delta(\varepsilon)$, the hypersurface $V(f_t-\eta)$ is non-singular in $\mathring{B}_r$ and transversely intersects with the sphere S_ε.

This property implies that the critical set $\Sigma\Phi$ of Φ does not intersect with the set

$$U(\mathring{B}_r) := (\mathring{D}_\tau \times \mathring{B}_r) \cap \Phi^{-1}((\mathring{D}_{\delta(\varepsilon)} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \times \mathring{D}_\tau).$$

Indeed, suppose there is a point $(t_0,\mathbf{z}_0)\in\Sigma\Phi\cap U(\mathring{B}_r)$. Then $\mathbf{z}_0\in\Sigma(f_{t_0}-f_{t_0}(\mathbf{z}_0))$. But this is not possible, since by $(\mathscr{P})$, the hypersurface $V(f_{t_0}-f_{t_0}(\mathbf{z}_0))$ is smooth. (We recall that a complex variety can never be a smooth manifold throughout a neighbourhood of a critical point (cf. [12, §2]).)

It also follows from Property $(\mathscr{P})$ that the map

$$\Phi_{|U(S_\varepsilon)}\colon U(S_\varepsilon)\to(\mathring{D}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})\times\mathring{D}_\tau$$

(restriction of Φ to $U(S_\varepsilon):=(\mathring{D}_\tau\times S_\varepsilon)\cap\Phi^{-1}((\mathring{D}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})\times\mathring{D}_\tau)$) is a submersion. Indeed, as $\Sigma\Phi\cap U(\mathring{B}_r)=\emptyset$ and $U(\mathring{B}_r)$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^n$, the map

$$\Phi_{|U(\mathring{B}_r)}\colon U(\mathring{B}_r)\to(\mathring{D}_{\delta(\varepsilon)}\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\})\times\mathring{D}_\tau$$

is a submersion. Thus, to show that $\Phi_{|U(S_\varepsilon)}$ is a submersion, it suffices to observe that the inclusion $U(S_\varepsilon)\hookrightarrow U(\mathring{B}_r)$ is transverse to the submanifold $\Phi^{-1}_{|U(\mathring{B}_r)}(f(t,\mathbf{z}),t)$ for any point $(t,\mathbf{z})\in U(S_\varepsilon)$ — or equivalently that the submanifolds

$$\Phi^{-1}_{|U(\mathring{B}_r)}(f(t,\mathbf{z}),t)\quad\text{and}\quad(\{t\}\times S_\varepsilon)\cap U(\mathring{B}_r)$$

are transverse to each other. And this is exactly the content of $(\mathscr{P})$.

Now, as $\Phi_{|U(S_\varepsilon)}$ is also a proper map, a result of D. Massey and D. Siersma (cf. [11, Proposition 1.10]) shows that the Milnor number of a generic hyperplane slice of f_t at a point on Σf_t sufficiently close to the origin — which coincides with the Lê number $\lambda^1_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$ for line singularities (cf. [7,9]) — is independent of t for all small t.

Finally, since the family $\{f_t\}$ has a uniform stable radius — the full strength of this assumption is used here — it follows from a result of M. Oka (cf. [15, Lemma 2]) that the diffeomorphism type of the Milnor fibration of f_t at the origin is independent of t for all small t. In particular, the reduced Euler characteristic $\tilde{\chi}(F_{f_t,\mathbf{0}})$ of the Milnor fibre $F_{f_t,\mathbf{0}}$ of f_t at $\mathbf{0}$, which is given by

$$\tilde{\chi}(F_{f_t,\mathbf{0}})=(-1)^{n-1}\lambda^0_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})+(-1)^{n-2}\lambda^1_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$$

(cf. [10, Theorem 3.3]), is independent of t for all small t. The constancy of $\lambda^0_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$ now follows from that of $\lambda^1_{f_t,\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{0})$.

5. Uniform stable radius and weighted homogeneous line singularities

By a result of M. Oka [13] and D. O'Shea [17], we know that if $\{f_t\}$ is a family of *isolated* hypersurface singularities such that each f_t is *weighted homogeneous* with respect to a given system of weights, then $\{f_t\}$ satisfies condition *(A)*, and hence, is uniformly stable. Our next observation says this still holds true for weighted homogeneous *line* singularities provided that the nearby fibres $V(f_t-\eta)$, $\eta\neq 0$, of the functions f_t are "uniformly" non-singular with respect to the deformation parameter t — that is, non-singular in a small ball the radius of which does *not* depends on t. (We recall that by [5] the nearby fibres are "individually" non-singular — that is, non-singular in a small ball the radius of which depends on t.)

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of hypersurfaces with line singularities such that each f_t is weighted homogeneous with respect to a given system of weights $\mathbf{w}=(w_1,\ldots,w_n)$ on the variables $(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$, with $w_i\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}$. Also, assume that the nearby fibres $V(f_t-\eta)$, $\eta\neq 0$, of the functions f_t are uniformly*

non-singular with respect to the deformation parameter t — that is, there exist positive numbers τ, r, δ such that for any $0 < |\eta| \leq \delta$ and any $0 \leq |t| \leq \tau$, the hypersurface $V(f_t - \eta)$ is non-singular in $\mathring{B}_r$. Under these assumptions, the family $\{f_t\}$ has a uniform stable radius. (In particular, $\{f_t\}$ is $\lambda_{\mathbf{z}}$-constant, and for $n \geq 5$, it is topologically trivial.)

Proof. It is based on similar arguments than those used in [13] and [17]. Suppose that the family $\{f_t\}$ does not have a uniform stable radius. Then, as the nearby fibres of the functions f_t are uniformly non-singular with respect to the deformation parameter t, for all $\tau > 0$ and all $r > 0$ small enough, there exist $0 < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon \leq r$ such that for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ there exist η_δ, ε_δ and t_δ, with $0 < |\eta_\delta| \leq \delta$, $\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon_\delta \leq \varepsilon$ and $|t_\delta| \leq \tau$, such that $V(f_{t_\delta} - \eta_\delta)$ is non-singular in $\mathring{B}_r$ and does not transversely intersect with the sphere S_{ε_δ}. It follows that there is a point $\mathbf{z}_\delta \in V(f_{t_\delta} - \eta_\delta) \cap S_{\varepsilon_\delta}$ which is a critical point of the restriction to $V(f_{t_\delta} - \eta_\delta) \cap B_r$ of the squared distance function:

$$\mathbf{z} \in V(f_{t_\delta} - \eta_\delta) \cap B_r \mapsto \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |z_i|^2.$$

In other words, the point $(t_\delta, \mathbf{z}_\delta)$ lies in the intersection of $D_\tau \times (B_\varepsilon \setminus \mathring{B}_{\varepsilon'})$ with the *real* algebraic set C consisting of the points $(t, \mathbf{z})$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial z_1}(\mathbf{z}), \ldots, \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial z_n}(\mathbf{z})\right) = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{z}} \tag{5.1}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, where $\bar{\mathbf{z}} := (\bar{z}_1, \ldots, \bar{z}_n)$ and $\bar{z}_i$ denotes the complex conjugate of z_i (see e.g. [18, Lemma 1]). Let $C_{\tau,r} := C \cap (D_\tau \times (B_\varepsilon \setminus \mathring{B}_{\varepsilon'}))$. Take $\delta := \delta(m) := 1/m$ (where $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ is sufficiently large), and consider the corresponding sequence of points $(t_{\delta(m)}, \mathbf{z}_{\delta(m)})$ in $C_{\tau,r}$. As $C_{\tau,r}$ is compact, taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $(t_{\delta(m)}, \mathbf{z}_{\delta(m)})$ converges to a point $(t_{\tau,r}, \mathbf{z}_{\tau,r}) \in C_{\tau,r}$, and hence $\eta_{\delta(m)} := f(t_{\delta(m)}, \mathbf{z}_{\delta(m)})$ tends to $f(t_{\tau,r}, \mathbf{z}_{\tau,r})$ as $m \to \infty$. Since $0 < |\eta_{\delta(m)}| \leq \delta(m) = 1/m \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$, we have $f(t_{\tau,r}, \mathbf{z}_{\tau,r}) = 0$. Thus $(t_{\tau,r}, \mathbf{z}_{\tau,r}) \in V(f) \cap C_{\tau,r}$.

Now, since $f_{t_{\tau,r}}$ is weighted homogeneous with respect to the weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)$, the *Euler identity* implies the following contradiction:

$$d_{\mathbf{w}} \cdot \underbrace{f_{t_{\tau,r}}(\mathbf{z}_{\tau,r})}_{=0} \overset{\text{Euler}}{=} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} w_i (z_{\tau,r})_i \frac{\partial f_{t_{\tau,r}}}{\partial z_i}(\mathbf{z}_{\tau,r}) \overset{(5.1)}{=} \lambda \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} w_i |(z_{\tau,r})_i|^2 \neq 0,$$

where $d_{\mathbf{w}}$ is the weighted degree of $f_{t_{\tau,r}}$ with respect to the weights $\mathbf{w}$ and $(z_{\tau,r})_i$ is the ith component of $\mathbf{z}_{\tau,r}$. □

Remark 5.2. Actually, the proof shows that if f defines a family $\{f_t\}$ of hypersurfaces — not necessarily with line singularities — such that each f_t is weighted homogeneous with respect to a given system of weights $\mathbf{w}$, and if furthermore, the nearby fibres $V(f_t - \eta)$, $\eta \neq 0$, of the functions f_t are uniformly non-singular with respect to the deformation parameter t, then the family $\{f_t\}$ has a uniform stable radius.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Briançon, *Le théorème de Kouchnirenko*, unpublished lecture notes.

[2] J. Briançon and J.-P. Speder, *La trivialité topologique n'implique pas les conditions de Whitney*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **280** (1975), no. 6, 365–367.

[3] J. Damon, *Newton filtrations, monomial algebras and nonisolated and equivariant singularities*, in: Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), pp. 267–276, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **40**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
[4] J. Fernández de Bobadilla, *Topological equisingularity of hypersurfaces with* 1*-dimensional critical set*, Adv. Math. **248** (2013) 1199–1253.
[5] H. A. Hamm and Lê Dũng Tráng, *Un théorème de Zariski du type de Lefschetz*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **6** (1973) 317–355.
[6] A. G. Kouchnirenko, *Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor*, Invent. Math. **32** (1976), no. 1, 1–31.
[7] Lê Dũng Tráng, *Ensembles analytiques complexes avec lieu singulier de dimension un (d'après I. N. Iomdine)*, in: Seminar on Singularities (Paris 1976/77), pp. 87–95, Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII, **7**, Paris, 1980.
[8] Lê Dũng Tráng and C. P. Ramanujam, *The invariance of Milnor's number implies the invariance of the topological type*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), no. 1, 67–78.
[9] D. Massey, *The Lê-Ramanujam problem for hypersurfaces with one-dimensional singular sets*, Math. Ann. **282** (1988), no. 1, 33–49.
[10] D. Massey, *Lê cycles and hypersurface singularities*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1615**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
[11] D. Massey and D. Siersma, *Deformation of polar methods*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **42** (1992), no. 4, 737–778.
[12] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. Stud. **61**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, and Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1968.
[13] M. Oka, *Deformation of Milnor fiberings*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **20** (1973) 397–400. Correction: ibid. **27** (1980), no. 2, 463–464.
[14] M. Oka, *On the bifurcation of the multiplicity and topology of the Newton boundary*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **31** (1979), no. 3, 435–450.
[15] M. Oka, *On topology of the Newton boundary, III*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **34** (1982), no. 3, 541–549.
[16] M. Oka, *On the weak simultaneous resolution of a negligible truncation of the Newton boundary*, in: Singularities (Iowa City, IA, 1986), pp. 199–210, Contemp. Math. **90**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
[17] D. O'Shea, *Finite jumps in Milnor number imply vanishing folds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), no. 1, 15–18.
[18] D. O'Shea, *Vanishing folds in families of singularities*, in: Singularities, Part 2 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), pp. 293–303, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **40**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
[19] J. G. Timourian, *The invariance of Milnor's number implies topological triviality*, Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), no. 2, 437–446.

C. EYRAL, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSAW, POLAND
E-mail address: eyralchr@yahoo.com